

County Based Funding Practices and Ranking Questions developed by the Local Work Group (LWG) For FY2009		
County name		Lawrence
The LWG indicates the practices below as eligible for this County Base Fund Pool by selecting a YES. A Blank Cell indicates the practice is not available in this County Base Fund Pool.		
PRAC. CODE	CONSERVATION PRACTICE NAME	The Local work Group Recommended Using These Practices <i>(Select "yes" practices available in this funding pool for your county. Blank practices will not be available in this funding pool but may be available in other funding pools.)</i>
472	Access Control	YES
342	Critical Area Planting	YES
382	Fence	YES
410	Grade Stabilization Structure	YES
412	Grassed Waterway	YES
561	Heavy Use Protection Area	YES
484	Mulching	YES
512	Pasture and Hayland Planting	YES
516	Pipeline	YES
528	Prescribed Grazing	YES
533	Pumping Plant	YES
391	Riparian Forest Buffer	YES
574	Spring Development	YES
578	Stream Crossing	YES
642	Water Well	YES
614	Watering Facility	YES

Your Local Work Group developed the following yes or no questions to be used in the County Base Ranking Tool. Points will only be awarded with a **Yes** Answer. Some questions are intentionally left blank.

	Questions	Points	Responses
1	Will this application result in the protection of streams and wetlands through exclusion fencing and/or buffer establishment?	100	Yes No
3	Will this application result in a 5 paddock (or more) rotational grazing system?	90	Yes No
4	Will this application result in a 3 - 4 paddock rotational grazing system?	85	Yes No
15	Have you previously completed a prior EQIP contract on schedule or will this be your 1st contract?	30	Yes No
20	Will this contract result in the establishment of native warm season grasses for the purpose of improving wildlife habitat?	5	Yes No